arXiv:1701.08377v1 [math.QA] 29 Jan 2017

GENERALIZED WEYL MODULES AND DEMAZURE SUBMODULES OF LEVEL-ZERO EXTREMAL WEIGHT MODULES.

FUMIHIKO NOMOTO

Abstract. We study a relationship between the graded characters of generalized Weyl modules $W_{w\lambda}$, $w \in W$, over the positive part of the affine Lie algebra and those of specific quotients $V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda)$, $w \in W$, of the Demazure submodules $V_w^-(\lambda)$ of the extremal weight modules $V(\lambda)$ over the quantum affine algebra, where W is the finite Weyl group and λ is a dominant weight. More precisely, we prove that a specific quotient of the Demazure submodule is a quantum analog of a generalized Weyl module.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: Primary 05E05; Secondary 33D52, 17B37, 20G42.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ the untwisted affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. In [OS], Orr-Shimozono gave a formula for the specialization at $t = 0$ of nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials in terms of quantum alcove paths; they also gave a similar formula for the specialization at $t = \infty$. Recently, for an arbitrary element w of the finite Weyl group W, Feigin-Makedonskyi [FM] introduced a generalized Weyl module, denoted by $W_{w\lambda}$, over the positive part $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ of the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, and proved that its graded character equals the graded character $C_w^{t(w_\circ\lambda)}$ of the set $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(w_\circ\lambda))$ of quantum alcove paths, where λ is a dominant weight for $\mathfrak{g}$; here, $w_\circ$ is the longest element of W, and $t(w_\circ\lambda)$ is an element of the extended affine Weyl group, which gives the translation by $w_\circ\lambda$.

Soon afterward, in [NNS], we proved that the graded character of the specific quotient module $V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda)$ of $V_w^-(\lambda)$ is identical to the graded character $\mathrm{gch}_w\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ of the set $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ of quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri (QLS) paths of shape λ; here, $V(\lambda)$ is the extremal weight module of extremal weight λ over the quantum affine algebra $U_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$, and $V_w^-(\lambda)$ is the Demazure submodule of $V(\lambda)$ ([NNS]). In particular, in the case $w = w_\circ$, this graded character turned out to be the specialization $E_{w_\circ\lambda}(q, \infty)$ of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials $E_{w_\circ\lambda}(q, t)$ at $t = \infty$.

The purpose of this paper is to reveal the relationship between the graded character of the generalized Weyl modules $W_{w\lambda}$ over $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ and that of the quotient module $V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda)$ of $V_w^-(\lambda)$ for $w \in W$. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1 (=Theorem 5.1.3)**.** *Let λ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. Then, there holds the following equality*:

$$w_\circ(\overline{\mathrm{gch} W_{w\lambda}}) = \mathrm{gch}(V^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)/X^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)).$$

Here, $\overline{\cdot}$ denotes the substitution $q \mapsto q^{-1}$, and the Weyl group action on $\mathbb{Q}(q)[P]$ is defined by: $w \cdot e^\mu := e^{w\mu}$, $w \in W$, $\mu \in P$, where P is the weight lattice for $\mathfrak{g}$.

For the case $w = e$, Lenart-Naito-Sagaki-Schilling-Shimozono [LNSSS2] constructed a bijection $\Xi : \mathcal{QB}(e; t(w_\circ\lambda)) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ in order to prove that the graded character of $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is identical to the specialization $E_{w_\circ\lambda}(q, 0)$ at $t = 0$. In this paper, we generalize their construction to an arbitrary $w \in W$, and prove Theorem 1 by means of the constructed bijection.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about the quantum Bruhat graph. In Section 3, we review the definitions of quantum alcove paths and their graded characters. In Section 4, we recall the definition of QLS paths, and then define some variants of their graded characters. In Section 5, we give a bijection between the set $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(w_\circ\lambda))$ of quantum alcove paths and the set $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ of QLS paths that preserves weights and degrees. Using this bijection, we prove that $w_\circ(\overline{C_w^{t(w_\circ\lambda)}}) = \mathrm{gch}_{w_\circ w w_\circ}\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, and hence Theorem 1.

2. (Parabolic) Quantum Bruhat Graph

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, I the vertex set for the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$ (resp., $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i\in I}$) the set of simple roots (resp., coroots) of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}\alpha_i^\vee$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $\mathfrak{h}^* = \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{C}\alpha_i$ the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}\alpha_i$ its real form; the canonical pairing between $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathfrak{h}^*\times\mathfrak{h}\to\mathbb{C}$. Let $Q = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the root lattice, $Q^\vee = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the coroot lattice, and $P = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\varpi_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$, where the ϖ_i, $i\in I$, are the fundamental weights for $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $\langle\varpi_i,\alpha_j^\vee\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $i,j\in I$; we set $P^+ := \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_i$, and call an elements λ of P^+ a dominant (integral) weight. Let us denote by Δ the set of roots, and by Δ^+ (resp., Δ^-) the set of positive (resp., negative) roots. Also, let $W := \langle s_i \mid i\in I\rangle$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, where s_i, $i\in I$, are the simple reflections acting on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and on $\mathfrak{h}$ as follows:

$$s_i\nu = \nu - \langle\nu,\alpha_i^\vee\rangle\alpha_i, \quad \nu\in\mathfrak{h}^*,$$
$$s_i h = h - \langle\alpha_i,h\rangle\alpha_i^\vee, \quad h\in\mathfrak{h};$$

we denote the identity element and the longest element of W by e and $w_\circ$, respectively. If $\alpha\in\Delta$ is written as $\alpha = w\alpha_i$ for $w\in W$ and $i\in I$, we define $\alpha^\vee$ to be $w\alpha_i^\vee$; we often identify s_α with $s_{\alpha^\vee}$. For $u\in W$, the length of u is denoted by $\ell(u)$, which coincides with the cardinality of the set $\Delta^+\cap u^{-1}\Delta^-$.

Definition 2.1 ([BFP, Definition 6.1])**.** The quantum Bruhat graph, denoted by $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, is the directed graph with vertex set W whose directed edges are labeled by positive roots as follows. For $u,v\in W$, and $\beta\in\Delta^+$, an arrow $u\xrightarrow{\beta}v$ is a directed edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ if the following hold:

(1) $v = us_\beta$, and
(2) either (2a): $\ell(v) = \ell(u)+1$ or (2b): $\ell(v) = \ell(u) - 2\langle\rho,\beta^\vee\rangle + 1$,

where $\rho := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\alpha$. An edge satisfying (2a) (resp., (2b)) is called a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge.

Remark 2.2. The quantum Bruhat graph defined above is a "right-handed" version, while the one defined in [BFP] is a "left-handed" version. Note that the results of [BFP] used in this paper (such as Proposition 2.4) are unaffected by this difference (cf. [Po]).

For an edge $u\xrightarrow{\beta}v$ of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, we set

$$\mathrm{wt}(u\to v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u\xrightarrow{\beta}v \text{ is a Bruhat edge,}\\ \beta^\vee & \text{if } u\xrightarrow{\beta}v \text{ is a quantum edge.}\end{cases}$$

Also, for $u,v\in W$, we take a shortest directed path $u = x_0\xrightarrow{\gamma_1}x_1\xrightarrow{\gamma_2}\cdots\xrightarrow{\gamma_r}x_r = v$ in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, and set

$$\mathrm{wt}(u\Rightarrow v) := \mathrm{wt}(x_0\to x_1)+\cdots+\mathrm{wt}(x_{r-1}\to x_r)\in Q^\vee;$$

we know from [Po, Lemma 1 (2), (3)] that this definition does not depend on the choice of a shortest directed path from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$. For a dominant weight $\lambda \in P^+$, we set $\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(u \Rightarrow v) := \langle \lambda, \mathrm{wt}(u \Rightarrow v)\rangle$, and call it the λ-weight of a directed path from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$.

Lemma 2.3. *If $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$ is a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, then $yw_\circ \xrightarrow{-w_\circ\beta} xw_\circ$ is also a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$.*

Proof. This follows easily from equalities $\ell(y) - \ell(x) = \ell(xw_\circ) - \ell(yw_\circ)$ and $\langle \rho, -w_\circ\beta^\vee\rangle = \langle \rho, \beta^\vee\rangle$. □

Let $w \in W$. We take (and fix) reduced expressions $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ and $w_\circ w^{-1} = s_{i_{-q}} \cdots s_{i_0}$; note that $w_\circ = s_{i_{-q}} \cdots s_{i_0} s_{i_1} \cdots s_{ip}$ is also a reduced expression for the longest element $w_\circ$. Now, we set

$$\beta_k := s_{i_p} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}} \alpha_{i_k}, \quad -q \le k \le p; \tag{2.1}$$

we have $\{\beta_{-q}, \ldots, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_p\} = \Delta^+$. Then we define a total order $\prec$ on Δ^+ by:

$$\beta_{-q} \prec \beta_{-q+1} \prec \cdots \prec \beta_p; \tag{2.2}$$

note that this total order is a reflection order; see Remark 5.2.1.

Proposition 2.4 ([BFP, Theorem 6.4])**.** *Let $u, v \in W$.*

(1) *There exists a unique directed path from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ for which the edge labels are strictly increasing (resp., strictly decreasing) in the total order $\prec$ above.*
(2) *The unique label-increasing (resp., label-decreasing) path*

$$u = u_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_r} u_r = v$$

from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ is a shortest directed path from u to v. Moreover, it is lexicographically minimal (resp., lexicographically maximal) among all shortest directed paths from u to v; that is, for an arbitrary shortest directed path

$$u = u'_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma'_1} u'_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma'_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma'_r} u'_r = v$$

from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, there exists some $1 \le j \le r$ such that $\gamma_j \prec \gamma'_j$ (resp., $\gamma_j \succ \gamma'_j$), and $\gamma_k = \gamma'_k$ for $1 \le k \le j-1$.

For a subset $S \subset I$, we set $W_S := \langle s_i \mid i \in S\rangle$; notice that S may be an empty set $\emptyset$. We denote the longest element of W_S by $w_\circ(S)$. Also, we set $\Delta_S := Q_S \cap \Delta$, where $Q_S := \sum_{i\in S} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, and $\Delta_S^+ := \Delta_S \cap \Delta^+$, $\Delta_S^- := \Delta_S \cap \Delta^-$. For $w \in W$, we denote the minimal-length coset representative for the coset wW_S in W/W_S by $\lfloor w \rfloor$, and for a subset $X \subset W$, we set $\lfloor X \rfloor := \{\lfloor w \rfloor \mid w \in X\} \subset W^S$, where $W^S := \lfloor W \rfloor$ is the set of minimal-length coset representatives for the cosets in W/W_S

Definition 2.5 ([LNSSS1, Section 4.3])**.** The parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, denoted by $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$, is the directed graph with vertex set W^S whose directed edges are labeled by positive roots in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_S^+$ as follows. For $u, v \in W^S$, and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_S^+$, an arrow $u \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ is a directed edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$ if the following hold:

(1) $v = \lfloor us_\beta \rfloor$ and
(2) either (2a): $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$ or (2b): $\ell(v) = \ell(u) - 2\langle \rho - \rho_S, \beta^\vee\rangle + 1$,

where $\rho_S = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_S^+} \alpha$. An edge satisfying (2a) (resp., (2b)) is called a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge.

For an edge $u \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$, we set

$$\mathrm{wt}^S(u \to v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \xrightarrow{\beta} v \text{ is a Bruhat edge,} \\ \beta^\vee & \text{if } u \xrightarrow{\beta} v \text{ is a quantum edge.} \end{cases}$$

Also, for $u, v \in W^S$, we take a shortest directed path $\mathbf{p} : u = x_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_r} x_r = v$ in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$ (such a directed path always exists by [LNSSS1, Lemma 6.12]), and set

$$\mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{p}) := \mathrm{wt}^S(x_0 \to x_1) + \cdots + \mathrm{wt}^S(x_{r-1} \to x_r) \in Q^\vee;$$

we know from [LNSSS1, Proposition 8.1] that if $\mathbf{q}$ is another shortest directed path from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$, then $\mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{p}) - \mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{q}) \in Q^\vee_S := \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i^\vee$.

Now, for a dominant weight $\lambda \in P^+$, we set

$$S = S_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}.$$

By the remark just above, for $u, v \in W^S$, the value $\langle \lambda, \mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{p}) \rangle$ does not depend on the choice of a shortest directed path $\mathbf{p}$ from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$; this value is called the λ-weight of a directed path from u to v in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$. Moreover, we know from [LNSSS2, Lemma 7.2] that the value $\langle \lambda, \mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{p}) \rangle$ is equal to the value $\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(x \Rightarrow y) = \langle \lambda, \mathrm{wt}(x \Rightarrow y) \rangle$ for all $x \in uW_S$ and $y \in vW_S$. In view of this fact, for $u, v \in W^S$, we also write $\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(u \Rightarrow v)$ for the value $\langle \lambda, \mathrm{wt}^S(\mathbf{p}) \rangle$ by abuse of notation. Using this notation, we have

$$\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(x \Rightarrow y) = \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(\lfloor x \rfloor \Rightarrow \lfloor y \rfloor) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in W$.

Definition 2.6 ([LNSSS2, Section 3.2])**.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, and set $S = S_\lambda$. We denote by $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W)$ (resp., $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W^S)$) the subgraph of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ (resp., $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$) with the same vertex set but having only the edges: $u \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ with $\sigma\langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.7 ([LNSSS2, Lemma 6.1])**.** *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$; notice that σ may be 1. If $u \xrightarrow{\beta} v$ is a directed edge of $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W)$, then there exists a directed path from $\lfloor u \rfloor$ to $\lfloor v \rfloor$ in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W^S)$.*

Also, for $u, v \in W$, let $\ell(u \Rightarrow v)$ denote the length of a shortest directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ from u to v. For $w \in W$, following [BFP], we define the w-tilted Bruhat order $\leq_w$ on W as follows: for $u, v \in W$,

$$u \leq_w v \overset{\mathrm{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \ell(v \Rightarrow w) = \ell(v \Rightarrow u) + \ell(u \Rightarrow w);$$

the w-tilted Bruhat order on W is a partial order with the unique minimal element w.

Lemma 2.8 ([LNSSS1, Theorem 7.1], [LNSSS2, Lemma 6.5])**.** *Let $u, v \in W^S$, and $w \in W_S$.*

1. *There exists a unique minimal element in the coset vW_S in the uw-tilted Bruhat order $\leq_{uw}$. We denote it by $\min(vW_S, \leq_{uw})$.*
2. *There exists a unique directed path from some $x \in vW_S$ to uw in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ whose edge labels are increasing in the total order $\prec$ on Δ^+ defined in (2.2), and lie in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S$. This path begins with $\min(vW_S, \leq_{uw})$.*
3. *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, and $\lambda \in P^+$ a dominant weight. If there exists a directed path from v to u in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W^S)$, then the directed path in (2) is one in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma\lambda}(W)$.*

3. Quantum Alcove paths and their graded characters

In this section, following [OS, Sections 4 and 5] and [FM, Section 1], we recall the definition and some of the properties of the graded characters of quantum alcove paths.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra whose root datum is dual to that of $\mathfrak{g}$; the set of simple roots is $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, and the set of simple coroots is $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$; We denote the set of roots of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\widetilde{\Delta} = \{\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$, and the set of positive (resp., negative) roots by $\widetilde{\Delta}^+$ (resp., $\widetilde{\Delta}^-$).

We consider the untwisted affinization of the root datum of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ the set of all real roots, and by $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}}$ (resp., $\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$) the set of all positive (resp., negative) real roots. Then we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{aff}} = \{\alpha^\vee + a\widetilde{\delta} \mid \alpha \in \Delta, a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, with $\widetilde{\delta}$ the (primitive) null root. We set $\alpha_0^\vee := \widetilde{\delta} - \varphi^\vee$, where $\varphi \in \Delta$ denotes the highest short root, and set $I_{\mathrm{aff}} := I \sqcup \{0\}$. Then, $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i\in I_{\mathrm{aff}}}$ is the set of simple roots. Also, for $\beta \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\widetilde{\delta}$, we define $\deg(\beta) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\beta} \in \mathfrak{h}$ by:

$$\beta = \overline{\beta} + \deg(\beta)\widetilde{\delta}. \tag{3.1}$$

We denote the Weyl group of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\widetilde{W}$; we identify $\widetilde{W}$ and W through the identification of the simple reflections of the same index for each $i \in I$. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $t(\nu)$ denote the translation in $\mathfrak{h}^*$: $t(\nu)\gamma = \gamma + \nu$ for $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. The corresponding affine Weyl group and the extended affine Weyl group are defined by $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{aff}} := t(Q) \rtimes W$ and $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}} := t(P) \rtimes W$, respectively. Also, we define $s_0 : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\nu \mapsto \nu - (\langle \nu, \varphi^\vee \rangle - 1)\varphi$. Then, $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{aff}} = \langle s_i \mid i \in I_{\mathrm{aff}} \rangle$; note that $s_0 = t(\varphi)s_\varphi$. The extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\widetilde{\delta}$ as linear transformations, and on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ as affine transformations: for $v \in W$, $t(\nu) \in t(P)$,

$$\begin{aligned} vt(\nu)(\overline{\beta} + r\widetilde{\delta}) &= v\overline{\beta} + (r - \langle \nu, \overline{\beta} \rangle)\widetilde{\delta}, \quad \overline{\beta} \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathbb{C}, \\ vt(\nu)\gamma &= v\nu + v\gamma, \qquad \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*. \end{aligned}$$

An element $u \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ can be written as

$$u = t(\mathrm{wt}(u))\mathrm{dir}(u), \tag{3.2}$$

with $\mathrm{wt}(u) \in P$ and $\mathrm{dir}(u) \in W$, according to the decomposition $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}} = t(P) \rtimes W$. For $w \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}}$, we denote the length of w by $\ell(w)$, which equals $\#\left(\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap w^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}\right)$. Also, we set $\Omega := \{w \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}} \mid \ell(w) = 0\}$.

Let $\mu \in P$, and denote by $m_\mu \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ the shortest element in the coset $t(\mu)W$. We take a reduced expression $m_\mu = us_{\ell_1} \cdots s_{\ell_L} \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}} = \Omega \ltimes \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, where $u \in \Omega$ and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_L \in I_{\mathrm{aff}}$.

Remark 3.1 ([M, Section 2.4]). For a dominant weight $\lambda \in P^+$, we have

$$m_{w_\circ\lambda} = t(w_\circ\lambda) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mathrm{dir}(t(w_\circ\lambda)) = e. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $w \in W$. For each $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \cdots < j_r\} \subset \{1, \ldots, L\}$, we define an alcove path $p_J = \left(wm_\mu = z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r; \tilde{\beta}_{j_1}, \ldots, \tilde{\beta}_{j_r}\right)$ as follows: we set $\tilde{\beta}_k := s_{\ell_L} \cdots s_{\ell_{k+1}} \alpha^\vee_{\ell_k} \in \widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}}$ for

$1 \leq k \leq L$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &= wm_\mu, \\ z_1 &= wm_\mu s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j_1}}, \\ z_2 &= wm_\mu s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j_1}} s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j_2}}, \\ &\vdots \\ z_r &= wm_\mu s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j_1}} \cdots s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, following [OS, Section 3.3], we set $\mathcal{B}(w; m_\mu) := \{p_J \mid J \subset \{1, \ldots, L\}\}$ and $\mathrm{end}(p_J) := z_r \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{ext}}$. Then we define $\mathcal{QB}(w; m_\mu)$ to be the following subset of $\mathcal{B}(w; m_\mu)$:

$$\left\{ p_J \in \mathcal{B}(w; m_\mu) \;\middle|\; \mathrm{dir}(z_i) \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{i+1}}}\right)^\vee} \mathrm{dir}(z_{i+1}) \text{ is a directed edge of } \mathrm{QBG}(W),\ 0 \leq i \leq r-1 \right\}.$$

Remark 3.2 ([M, (2.4.7)]). If $j \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$, then $-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_j}\right)^\vee \in \Delta^+$.

For $p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w; m_\mu)$, we define $\mathrm{qwt}(p_J)$ as follows. Let $J^- \subset J$ denote the set of those indices $j_i \in J$ for which $\mathrm{dir}(z_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_i}}\right)^\vee} \mathrm{dir}(z_i)$ is a quantum edge. Then we set

$$\mathrm{qwt}(p_J) := \sum_{j \in J^-} \tilde{\beta}_j.$$

Now, following [FM, Definition 1.9], let $C_w^{m_\mu}$ denote the graded character

$$\sum_{p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w; m_\mu)} q^{\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J))} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J))}. \tag{3.5}$$

of $\mathcal{QB}(w; m_\mu)$.

Remark 3.3 ([OS]; see also [FM]). For $\mu \in P$, we denote by $E_\mu(q, t)$ the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial, and by $E_\mu(q, 0)$ (resp., $E_\mu(q, \infty)$) the specialization $\lim_{t \to 0} E_\mu(q, t)$ (resp., $\lim_{t \to \infty} E_\mu(q, t)$) at $t = 0$ (resp., $t = \infty$).

(1) For the special case $w = e$, it holds that

$$E_\mu(q, 0) = C_e^{m_\mu}.$$

(2) For the special case $w = w_\circ$, it holds that

$$E_\mu(q^{-1}, \infty) = w_\circ C_{w_\circ}^{m_\mu};$$

namely,

$$E_\mu(q^{-1}, \infty) = \sum_{p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w_\circ; m_\mu)} q^{\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J))} e^{w_\circ \mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J))}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ denote the affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{aff}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}}^-$ be its triangular decomposition.

Remark 3.4. We should warn the reader that the root datum of the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is not necessarily dual to that of the untwisted affine Lie algebra associated to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the set $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ of real roots, though the root datum of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is dual to that of $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, for the index $0 \in I_{\mathrm{aff}}$, the simple coroot $\alpha_0^\vee = c - \theta^\vee$, with $\theta \in \Delta^+$ the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ and c the canonical

central element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, does not agree with the simple root $\widetilde{\delta} - \varphi^{\vee}$ at the beginning of this section, which is denoted by $\alpha_0^{\vee}$ there.

Definition 3.5 ([FM, Definition 2.1])**.** Let λ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. Then the generalized Weyl module $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ is the cyclic $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}}$-module with a generator v and following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} h \otimes t^k v = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}, k > 0, \\ (f_\alpha \otimes t)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in w\Delta^- \cap \Delta^-, \\ (e_\alpha \otimes 1)v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in w\Delta^- \cap \Delta^+, \\ (f_{w\alpha} \otimes t)^{-\langle w_\circ\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle}v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta^+ \text{ such that } w\alpha \in \Delta^-, \\ (e_{w\alpha} \otimes 1)^{-\langle w_\circ\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle}v = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta^+ \text{ such that } w\alpha \in \Delta^+, \end{aligned}$$

where e_α, $f_{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, denote the Chevalley generators.

We can see the generalized Weyl module $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ as $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$-module by: $hv = \langle w_\circ\lambda, h\rangle v$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$; hence the module $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ is a $\mathfrak{h}$-weighted module. Also, the module $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ has degree grading defined by two conditions: $\deg(v) = 0$, and the operator of the form $x \otimes t^k \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ increases the degree by k. Then the graded character of the generalized Weyl module $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ is defined by:

$$\mathrm{gch} W_{ww_\circ\lambda} := \sum \dim W_{ww_\circ\lambda}[\gamma, k] q^k e^\gamma;$$

here, $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}[\gamma, k]$ is the subspace of $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ whose element has degree k and $\mathfrak{h}$-weight γ. Feigin-Makedonskyi proved that the graded character $\mathrm{gch} W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ of $W_{ww_\circ\lambda}$ is identical to the graded character $C_w^{t(w_\circ\lambda)} (= C_w^{m_{w_\circ\lambda}})$ ([FM, Theorem 2.21]).

4. Quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths and some variants of their graded characters

4.1. **Quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.**

Definition 4.1.1 ([LNSSS2, Definition 3.1])**.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and set $S := S_\lambda = \{i \in I \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee\rangle = 0\}$. A pair $\eta = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s)$ of a sequence $w_1, \ldots, w_s$ of elements in W^S such that $w_k \neq w_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ and an increasing sequence $0 = \sigma_0 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$ of rational numbers, is called a quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri (QLS) path of shape λ if

(C) for every $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, there exists a directed path from w_{i+1} to w_i in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma_i\lambda}(W^S)$.

Let $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ denote the set of all QLS paths of shape λ.

Remark 4.1.2. As in [LNSSS3, Definition 3.2.2 and Theorem 4.1.1], condition (C) can be replaced by:

(C') for every $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, there exists a shortest directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W^S)$ from w_{i+1} to w_i that is also a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma_i\lambda}(W^S)$.

The set $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ provides a realization of the crystal basis of a particular quantum Weyl module $W_{\mathsf{v}}(\lambda)$ over $U'_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$, where $U'_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$ denotes the quantum affine algebra without the degree operator. (see [LNSSS3, Theorem 4.1.1], [NS1, Theorem 3.2], [N, Remark 2.15]). Moreover, $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \cong \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathrm{QLS}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ as $U'_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$-crystal, where $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i$; in particular, $\#\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) = \prod_{i\in I} (\#\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda))^{m_i}$.

4.2. **Some variants of graded characters.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. For $\eta = (w_1, \dots, w_s; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wt}(\eta) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1}) w_i \lambda \in P, \\
\mathrm{Deg}^*(\eta) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (1 - \sigma_i) \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{i+1} \Rightarrow w_i), \\
\mathrm{Deg}_*(\eta) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \sigma_i \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{i+1} \Rightarrow w_i), \\
\mathrm{Deg}^w(\eta) &:= \mathrm{Deg}^*(\eta) + \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_1 \Rightarrow w_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (1 - \sigma_i) \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{i+1} \Rightarrow w_i), \\
\mathrm{Deg}_w(\eta) &:= \mathrm{Deg}_*(\eta) + \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{s+1} \Rightarrow w_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sigma_i \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{i+1} \Rightarrow w_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $w_0 := w$ and $w_{s+1} := w$. Note that by Remark 4.1.2, we have $\sigma_i \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_{i+1} \Rightarrow w_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Hence it follows that $\mathrm{Deg}^*(\eta), \mathrm{Deg}_*(\eta), \mathrm{Deg}^w(\eta), \mathrm{Deg}_w(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; notice that $\sigma_0 = 0$, and $\sigma_s = 1$.

Also, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{gch}^w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) &:= \sum_{\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}^w(\eta)} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)}, \\
\mathrm{gch}_w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) &:= \sum_{\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}_w(\eta)} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \varpi_i \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and $V(\lambda)$ the extremal weight module of extremal weight λ over the quantum affine algebra $U_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$, and by $V_w^-(\lambda)$ the Demazure submodule of $V(\lambda)$; see [NS2].

In [NNS], we proved that $\mathrm{gch} V_w^-(\lambda)$ of the Demazure submodule $V_w^-(\lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ is identical to

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{r=1}^{m_i} (1 - q^{-r}) \right)^{-1} \mathrm{gch}_w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda).$$

Moreover, the graded character of the specific (finite-dimensional) quotient module $V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda)$ of $V_w^-(\lambda)$ over the positive part $U_{\mathsf{v}}^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$ of $U_{\mathsf{v}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{aff}})$ is identical to $\mathrm{gch}_w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$; see [NNS, (4.26) and (5.7)] for the definitions of the Demazure submodule $V_w^-(\lambda)$ and the specific quotient $V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda)$.

Remark 4.2.1. Let λ be a dominant weight $\lambda \in P^+$. We know the following:

(1) if $w = e$, then $\mathrm{gch}_e(\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)) = E_{w_\circ \lambda}(q^{-1}, 0)$ ([LNSSS2, Lemma 7.7 and Theorem 7.9]);
(2) if $w = w_\circ$, then $\mathrm{gch}_{w_\circ}(\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)) = E_{w_\circ \lambda}(q, \infty)$ ([NNS, Theorem 3.2.7]),

where $E_{w_\circ \lambda}(q^{-1}, 0)$ and $E_{w_\circ \lambda}(q, \infty)$ are specializations of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial $E_{w_\circ \lambda}(q, t)$ at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$, respectively.

4.3. **Lusztig involution.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and set $S := S_\lambda = \{i \in I \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}$. In this subsection, we state the relation between the graded characters $\mathrm{gch}_w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{gch}^w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ for $w \in W$.

For $\eta = (w_1, \dots, w_s; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, we define $T(\eta)$ to be $(\lfloor w_\circ w_s \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor w_\circ w_1 \rfloor; 1 - \sigma_s, \dots, 1 - \sigma_0)$. The following follows from [LNSSS2, Section 4.5].

Lemma 4.3.1.

(1) *Let $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then,* $\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_\circ w_1 \Rightarrow w_\circ w_2) = \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_2 \Rightarrow w_1)$.
(2) *Let $\eta = (w_1, \dots, w_s; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. Then we have*
   (a) $T(\eta) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$;
   (b) $\mathrm{wt}(T(\eta)) = w_\circ \mathrm{wt}(\eta)$;
   (c) $\mathrm{Deg}_w(T(\eta)) = \mathrm{Deg}^{w_\circ w}(\eta)$.

Proof. Parts (1), (2a), and (2b) are proved in [LNSSS2, Section 4.5]. Let us prove part (2c). It follows from [LNSSS2, Corollary 4.8] that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_*(T(\eta)) = \mathrm{Deg}^*(\eta).$$

Also, by part (1), we have $\mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w \Rightarrow \lfloor w_\circ w_s \rfloor) \overset{(2.3)}{=} \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w \Rightarrow w_\circ w_s) = \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_s \Rightarrow w_\circ w)$. From these, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Deg}_w(T(\eta)) &= \mathrm{Deg}_*(T(\eta)) + \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w \Rightarrow w_\circ w_s) \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}^*(\eta) + \mathrm{wt}_\lambda(w_s \Rightarrow w_\circ w) = \mathrm{Deg}^{w_\circ w}(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This proves the lemma. □

The operator T above is an involution on $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, called the Lusztig involution. By using Lemma 4.3.1, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{gch}_w \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) = w_\circ \left(\mathrm{gch}^{w_\circ w} \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\right) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}^{w_\circ w}(\eta)} e^{w_\circ \mathrm{wt}(\eta)} \tag{4.1}$$

for $w \in W$.

5. Relationship between the two graded characters

5.1. **Relationship between the graded characters $\mathrm{gch} W_{w\lambda}$ and $\mathrm{gch}(V_w^-(\lambda)/X_w^-(\lambda))$.** We define an involution $\overline{\cdot}$ on $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ by $\overline{q} = q^{-1}$. and set $\overline{f} = \sum_{\mu \in P} \overline{f_\mu} e^\mu$ for $f = \sum_{\mu \in P} f_\mu e^\mu$ with $f_\mu \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$.

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. Then we have*

$$\overline{C_w^{t(w_\circ \lambda)}} = \mathrm{gch}^{w w_\circ} \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda).$$

In particular, $\#\mathcal{QB}(w, t(w_\circ \lambda)) = \#\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ (see Proposition 5.3.4).

We will give a proof of Theorem 5.1.1 in Section 5.3. By combining Theorem 5.1.1 with (4.1), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1.2. *Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. Then we have*

$$w_\circ \left(\overline{C_w^{t(w_\circ \lambda)}} \right) = \mathrm{gch}_{w_\circ w w_\circ} \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda).$$

Because $C_w^{t(w_\circ \lambda)}$ equals the graded character $\mathrm{gch} W_{w\lambda}$ of the generalized Weyl module $W_{w\lambda}$, and $\mathrm{gch}_{w_\circ w w_\circ} \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ equals the graded character $\mathrm{gch}(V^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)/X^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda))$ of the quotient module $V^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)/X^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)$ of the Demazure module $V^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)$, we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1.3. *Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and $w \in W$. Then we have*

$$w_\circ \left(\overline{\mathrm{gch} W_{w\lambda}} \right) = \mathrm{gch}(V^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)/X^-_{w_\circ w w_\circ}(\lambda)). \tag{5.1}$$

5.2. **Reduced expressions for $t(w_\circ\lambda)$ and a total order on $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(w_\circ\lambda)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$.** Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant weight, and set $\lambda_- := w_\circ\lambda$, $S := S_\lambda = \{i \in I \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee\rangle = 0\}$. For $\mu \in W\lambda$, we denote by $v(\mu) \in W^S$ the minimal-length coset representative for the coset $\{w \in W \mid w\lambda = \mu\}$ in W/W_S. Since $w_\circ$ is the longest element of W, we have $w_\circ = v(\lambda_-)w_\circ(S)$ and $\ell(w_\circ) = \ell(v(\lambda_-)) + \ell(w_\circ(S))$.

In this subsection, we recall a particular reduced expression for m_{λ_-} ($= t(\lambda_-)$ by (3.3)) with respect to a fixed total order on $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(w_\circ\lambda)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$, and review some of its properties from [NNS].

We fix reduced expressions

$$v(\lambda_-) = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_M}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$w_\circ(S) = s_{i_{M+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \tag{5.3}$$

for $v(\lambda_-)$ and $w_\circ(S)$, respectively. Then

$$w_\circ = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N} \tag{5.4}$$

is a reduced expression for $w_\circ$. We set $\beta_j := s_{i_N} \cdots s_{i_{j+1}}\alpha_{i_j}$, $1 \le j \le N$. Then we have $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M\}$ and $\Delta^+_S = \{\beta_{M+1}, \dots, \beta_N\}$. We fix a total order on Δ^+ such that

$$\underbrace{\beta_1 \succ \beta_2 \succ \cdots \succ \beta_M}_{\in \Delta^+\setminus\Delta^+_S} \succ \underbrace{\beta_{M+1} \succ \cdots \succ \beta_N}_{\in \Delta^+_S}. \tag{5.5}$$

Remark 5.2.1. The total order $\prec$ above is a reflection order on Δ^+ in the sense that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+$ with $\gamma^\vee = \alpha^\vee + \beta^\vee$, then $\alpha \prec \gamma \prec \beta$ or $\beta \prec \gamma \prec \alpha$.

Now, we define an injective map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}} &\to \mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0} \times \left(\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S\right), \\ \beta = \overline{\beta} + \deg(\beta)\widetilde{\delta} &\mapsto \left(\frac{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\beta}\rangle - \deg(\beta)}{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\beta}\rangle}, w_\circ\overline{\beta}^\vee\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\beta}\rangle > 0$, $\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\beta}\rangle - \deg(\beta) \ge 0$, and $w_\circ\overline{\beta}^\vee \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S$ since $\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\beta}\rangle = \langle \lambda, w_\circ\overline{\beta}\rangle > 0$; recall from [M, (2.4.7) (i)] that

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}} = \{\alpha + a\widetilde{\delta} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^-, a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } 0 < a \le \langle \lambda_-, \alpha^\vee\rangle\}. \tag{5.6}$$

Let us consider the lexicographic order $<$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0} \times (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S)$ induced by the usual total order on $\mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0}$ and the reverse order of the restriction to $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S$ of the total order $\prec$ on Δ^+ above; that is, for $(a, \alpha), (b, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0} \times (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S)$,

$$(a, \alpha) < (b, \beta) \text{ if and only if } a < b, \text{ or } a = b \text{ and } \alpha \succ \beta.$$

Then we denote by $\prec'$ the total order on $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$ induced by the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0} \times (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S)$ through the injective map Φ.

The proof of the following proposition is the same as that of [NNS, Proposition 3.1.8].

Proposition 5.2.2. *With the notation and setting above, let us write $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$ as $\{\gamma_1 \prec' \cdots \prec' \gamma_L\}$. Then, there exists a unique reduced expression $t(\lambda_-) = us_{\ell_1} \cdots s_{\ell_L}$ for $t(\lambda_-)$, with $u \in \Omega$ and $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_L\} \subset I_{\mathrm{aff}}$, such that $\tilde{\beta}_j = \gamma_j$ for $1 \le j \le L$, where $\tilde{\beta}_j = s_{\ell_L} \cdots s_{\ell_{j+1}}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_j}$, $1 \le j \le L$.*

In the following, we use the reduced expression $t(\lambda_-) = us_{\ell_1} \cdots s_{\ell_L}$ for $t(\lambda_-)$ give by this proposition. The proof of the following lemma is the same as that of [NNS, Lemma 3.1.10].

Lemma 5.2.3. *Keep the notation and setting above. Then, $us_{\ell_{M+1}}\cdots s_{\ell_L}$ is a reduced expression for m_λ. Moreover, if we write $us_{\ell_k} = s_{i'_k}u$ for $1 \le k \le M$, then $i_k = i'_k$, where $w_\circ = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_N}$ is the reduced expression (5.4) for $w_\circ$.*

We set $a_k := \deg(\tilde{\beta}_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $1 \le k \le L$; since $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}} = \{\tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_L\}$, we see by (5.6) that $0 < a_k \le \langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}\rangle$.

Corollary 5.2.4. *For $1 \le k \le M$, we have $w_\circ\overline{\tilde{\beta}_k} = \beta_k^\vee$, where $\beta_k := s_{i_N}\cdots s_{i_{k+1}}\alpha_{i_k}$.*

Proof. If we set $\hat{\beta}_k := us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_{k-1}}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k}$, $1 \le k \le M$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -t(\lambda_-)\tilde{\beta}_k &= -(us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_L})(s_{\ell_L}\cdots s_{\ell_{k+1}}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k}) = -us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_{k-1}}s_{\ell_k}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k} \\ &= -us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_{k-1}}(-\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k}) = us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_{k-1}}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k} = \hat{\beta}_k. \end{aligned}$$

From this, together with the equality $-t(\lambda_-)\tilde{\beta}_k = -\overline{\tilde{\beta}_k} - (a_k - \langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}\rangle)\widetilde{\delta}$, we obtain $\overline{\hat{\beta}_k} = -\overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\circ\overline{\tilde{\beta}_k} = w_\circ(-\overline{\hat{\beta}_k}) = w_\circ(-\overline{us_{\ell_1}\cdots s_{\ell_{k-1}}\alpha^\vee_{\ell_k}}) &\overset{\text{Lemma } 5.2.3}{=} w_\circ(-s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}\alpha^\vee_{i_k}) \\ = (s_{i_N}\cdots s_{i_1})(-s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}\alpha^\vee_{i_k}) &= s_{i_N}\cdots s_{i_{k+1}}\alpha^\vee_{i_k} = \beta_k^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

For $1 \le k \le L$, we set

$$d_k := \frac{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}\rangle - a_k}{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}\rangle} \tag{5.7}$$

here, d_k is just the first component of $\Phi(\tilde{\beta}_k) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0} \times (\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S)$. Recall that for $1 \le k, j \le L$, $\Phi(\tilde{\beta}_k) < \Phi(\tilde{\beta}_j)$ if and only if $k < j$. Therefore, it follows that

$$0 \le d_1 \le \cdots \le d_L \lneqq 1. \tag{5.8}$$

The following lemma follows from the definition of the map Φ.

Lemma 5.2.5 (cf. [NNS, Lemma 3.1.12])**.** *If $1 \le k < j \le L$ and $d_k = d_j$, then $w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_k}\right)^\vee \succ w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_j}\right)^\vee$.*

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.1.** We keep the notation of Section 5.2. In this subsection, in order to prove Theorem 5.1.1, we give a bijection

$$\Xi_w : \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-)) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda),$$

that preserves weights and degrees, for an arbitrary $w \in W$.

This bijection is given in a similar way to the bijection $\Xi : \overleftarrow{\mathrm{QB}}(e, t(\lambda_-)) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ in [NNS, Section 3.3]; here $\overleftarrow{\mathrm{QB}}(e, t(\lambda_-))$ is the subset of $\mathcal{B}(e; t(\lambda_-))$ defined to be

$$\{p_J \in \mathcal{B}(e; t(\lambda_-)) \mid \mathrm{dir}(z_{j_i}) \xleftarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_i}}\right)^\vee} \mathrm{dir}(z_{j_i+1}) \text{ is a directed edge of QBG} for j \in J\}.$$

In contrast to the definition of $\mathcal{QB}(w, t(\lambda_-))$, the defining condition of $\overleftarrow{\mathrm{QB}}(e, t(\lambda_-))$ is different in edge direction in QBG. Moreover, the set $\mathcal{QB}(w, t(\lambda_-))$ depends on $w \in W$, which makes the bijection a little complicated.

Remark 5.3.1. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r \in \widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$, and define a sequence $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_r)$ by: $y_0 = wt(\lambda_-)$, and $y_i = y_{i-1}s_{\gamma_i}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then, the pair of sequences $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_r; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r)$ is an element of $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\gamma_1 \prec' \gamma_2 \prec' \cdots \prec' \gamma_r$, where the order $\prec'$ is the total order on $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$ introduced in Section 5.2;

(2) for $1 \le i \le r$, $\mathrm{dir}(y_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{-(\overline{\gamma_i})^\vee} \mathrm{dir}(y_i)$ is a directed edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$.

Now, we define a map $\Xi_w : \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-)) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. Let p_J be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$ of the form

$$p_J = \left(wt(\lambda_-) = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_r; \tilde{\beta}_{j_1}, \tilde{\beta}_{j_2}, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{j_r}\right) \in \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-)),$$

where $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, L\}$. We set $x_k := \mathrm{dir}(z_k)$, $0 \le k \le r$. Then, by the definition of $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$,

$$w = x_0 \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_1}}\right)^\vee} x_1 \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_2}}\right)^\vee} \cdots \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}\right)^\vee} x_r \tag{5.9}$$

is a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$; the equality $w = x_0$ follows from (3.4). We take $0 = u_0 \le u_1 < \cdots < u_{s-1} < u_s = r$ and $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_{s-1} < 1 = \sigma_s$ in such a way that (see (5.8))

$$\underbrace{0 = d_{j_1} = \cdots = d_{j_{u_1}}}_{=\sigma_0} < \underbrace{d_{j_{u_1+1}} = \cdots = d_{j_{u_2}}}_{=\sigma_1} < \cdots < \underbrace{d_{j_{u_{s-1}+1}} = \cdots = d_{j_r}}_{=\sigma_{s-1}} < 1 = \sigma_s; \tag{5.10}$$

note that $d_{j_1} > 0$ if and only if $u_1 = 0$. We set $w'_p := x_{u_p}$ for $0 \le p \le s$. Then, by taking a subsequence of (5.9), we obtain the following directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ for each $0 \le p \le s-1$:

$$w'_p = x_{u_p} \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{u_p+1}}}\right)^\vee} x_{u_p+1} \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{u_p+2}}}\right)^\vee} \cdots \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{u_{p+1}}}}\right)^\vee} x_{u_{p+1}} = w'_{p+1}.$$

Multiplying this directed path on the right by $w_\circ$, we obtain the following directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ for each $0 \le p \le s-1$ (see Lemma 2.3):

$$w_p := w'_p w_\circ = x_{u_p} w_\circ \xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{u_p+1}}}\right)^\vee} \cdots \xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_{u_{p+1}}}}\right)^\vee} x_{u_{p+1}} w_\circ = w'_{p+1} w_\circ =: w_{p+1}. \tag{5.11}$$

Note that $w_0 = w'_0 w_\circ = x_0 w_\circ = w w_\circ$. In addition, the edge labels of this directed path are increasing in the reflection order $\prec$ on Δ^+ given by (5.5) (see Lemma 5.2.5), and lie in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S$; this property will be used to prove that the map Ξ_w is injective. Because

$$\sigma_p\langle \lambda, w_\circ\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle = d_{j_u}\langle \lambda, w_\circ\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle = \frac{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle - a_{j_u}}{\langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle}\langle \lambda, w_\circ\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle = \langle \lambda_-, \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_u}}\rangle - a_{j_u} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.12}$$

for $u_p + 1 \le u \le u_{p+1}$, $0 \le p \le s-1$, we find that (5.11) is a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma_p\lambda}(W)$ for $0 \le p \le s-1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.7, there exists a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\sigma_p\lambda}(W^S)$ from $\lfloor w_{p+1} \rfloor$ to $\lfloor w_p \rfloor$, where $S := S_\lambda = \{i \in I \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}$. Also, we claim that $\lfloor w_p \rfloor \ne \lfloor w_{p+1} \rfloor$ for $1 \le p \le s-1$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\lfloor w_p \rfloor = \lfloor w_{p+1} \rfloor$ for some p. Then, $w_p W_S = w_{p+1} W_S$, and hence $\min(w_{p+1}W_S, \le_{w_p}) = \min(w_p W_S, \le_{w_p}) = w_p$. Recall that the directed path (5.11) is a path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ from w_{p+1} to w_p whose edge labels are increasing and lie in $\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_S$. By Lemma 2.8 (1), (2), the directed path (5.11) is a shortest path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ from $\min(w_{p+1}W_S, \le_{w_p}) = \min(w_p W_S, \le_{w_p}) = w_p$ to w_p, which implies that the

length of the directed path (5.11) is equal to 0. Therefore, $\{j_{u_p+1},\dots,j_{u_{p+1}}\}=\emptyset$, and hence $u_p=u_{p+1}$, which contradicts the fact that $u_p<u_{p+1}$. Thus we obtain

$$\eta:=(\lfloor w_1\rfloor,\dots,\lfloor w_s\rfloor;\sigma_0,\dots,\sigma_s)\in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda). \tag{5.13}$$

We now define $\Xi_w(p_J):=\eta$.

In order to prove that the map $\Xi_w:\mathcal{QB}(w;t(\lambda_-))\to\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is bijective, we prove that the map is both injective and surjective; in [NNS, Section 3.3], we gave the inverse map $\Theta:\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\to\overleftarrow{\mathrm{QB}}(e;t(\lambda_-))$ to the map Ξ.

Lemma 5.3.2. *The map $\Xi_w:\mathcal{QB}(w;t(\lambda_-))\to\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $J=\{j_1,\dots,j_r\}$ and $K=\{k_1,\dots,k_{r'}\}$ be subsets of $\{1,\dots,L\}$ such that $\Xi_w(p_J)=\Xi_w(p_K)=(v_1,\dots,v_s;\sigma_0,\dots,\sigma_s)\in\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. As in (5.10), we set $0=u_0\le u_1<\cdots<u_{s-1}=r$ and $0=u'_0\le u'_1<\cdots<u'_{s-1}=r'$ in such a way that

$$\underbrace{0=d_{j_1}=\cdots=d_{j_{u_1}}}_{=\sigma_0}<\underbrace{d_{j_{u_1+1}}=\cdots=d_{j_{u_2}}}_{=\sigma_1}<\cdots<\underbrace{d_{j_{u_{s-2}+1}}=\cdots=d_{j_r}}_{=\sigma_{s-1}}<1=\sigma_s,$$

$$\underbrace{0=d_{k_1}=\cdots=d_{k_{u'_1}}}_{=\sigma_0}<\underbrace{d_{k_{u'_1+1}}=\cdots=d_{k_{u'_2}}}_{=\sigma_1}<\cdots<\underbrace{d_{k_{u'_{s-2}+1}}=\cdots=d_{k_{r'}}}_{=\sigma_{s-1}}<1=\sigma_s.$$

As in (5.11), we consider the directed paths in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$

$$\begin{aligned} &w_p \xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{j_{u_p+1}}}\right)^\vee}\cdots\xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{j_{u_{p+1}}}}\right)^\vee} w_{p+1} \quad \text{for } 0\le p\le s-1,\\ &y_p \xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{k_{u'_p+1}}}\right)^\vee}\cdots\xleftarrow{w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{k_{u'_{p+1}}}}\right)^\vee} y_{p+1} \quad \text{for } 0\le p\le s-1; \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

here we note that $w_0=y_0=ww_\circ$, and $\lfloor w_p\rfloor=\lfloor y_p\rfloor=v_p$, $0\le p\le s-1$.

Assume that $w_p=y_p$ and $u_p=u'_p$. Then both of the directed paths in (5.14) are directed paths from some element in $v_{p+1}W_S$ to w_p in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$ whose edge labels are increasing and lie in $\Delta^+\setminus\Delta_S^+$. Therefore, $w_{p+1}=y_{p+1}\in v_{p+1}W_S$ and $w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{j_i}}\right)^\vee=w_\circ\left(\overline{\tilde\beta_{k_i}}\right)^\vee$ for $u_p+1\le i\le u_{p+1}$, and $u_{p+1}=u'_{p+1}$ by Lemma 2.8 (2). Also, $d_{j_i}=d_{k_i}=\sigma_p$ for $u_p+1\le i\le u_{p+1}$. It follows from the equalities $\tilde\beta_j=\overline{\tilde\beta_j}+(1-d_j)\langle\lambda_-,\overline{\tilde\beta_j}\rangle\widetilde{\delta}$, $1\le j\le L$, that $\tilde\beta_{j_i}=\tilde\beta_{k_i}$, $u_p+1\le i\le u_{p+1}$, and hence $j_i=k_i$, $u_p+1\le i\le u_{p+1}$. Thus, by induction on p, we deduce that $u_p=u'_p$ for $0\le p\le s-1$, and $j_i=k_i$, $u_0+1\le i\le u_{s-1}$. Consequently, $r=u_{s-1}=u'_{s-1}=r'$, and hence $J=\{j_1,\dots,j_r\}=\{k_1,\dots,k_{r'}\}=K$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 5.3.3. *The map $\Xi_w:\mathcal{QB}(w;t(\lambda_-))\to\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary element $\eta=(y_1,\dots,y_s;\tau_0,\dots,\tau_s)\in\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$; we set $y_0=\lfloor ww_\circ\rfloor\in W^S$. We define the elements v_p, $0\le p\le s$, by: $v_0=ww_\circ$, and $v_p=\min(y_pW_S,\le_{v_{p-1}})$ for $1\le p\le s$.

Because there exists a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\tau_p\lambda}(W^S)$ from y_{p+1} to y_p for $1\le p\le s-1$, we see from Lemma 2.8 (2), (3) that there exists a unique directed path

$$v_p\xleftarrow{-w_\circ\gamma_{p,1}}\cdots\xleftarrow{-w_\circ\gamma_{p,t_p}}v_{p+1} \tag{5.15}$$

in $\mathrm{QBG}_{\tau_p\lambda}(W)$ from v_{p+1} to v_p whose edge labels $-w_\circ\gamma_{p,t_p},\dots,-w_\circ\gamma_{p,1}$ are increasing in the reflection order $\prec$, and lie in $\Delta^+\setminus\Delta_S^+$ for $1\le p\le s-1$. We remark that this is also the case

for $p=0$, since $\tau_0=0$; if $y_1=y_0=\lfloor ww_\circ\rfloor$, then we set $t_0=0$. Multiplying this directed path on the right by $w_\circ$, we get the following directed paths by Lemma 2.3:

$$v_{p,0}:=v_pw_\circ \xrightarrow{\gamma_{p,1}} v_{p,1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{p,2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{p,t_p}} v_{p+1}w_\circ:=v_{p,t_p},\quad 0\le p\le s-1.$$

Concatenating these paths for $0\le p\le s-1$, we obtain the following directed path

(5.16)
$$w=v_{0,0}\xrightarrow{\gamma_{0,1}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\gamma_{0,t_0}}v_{0,t_0}=v_{1,0}\xrightarrow{\gamma_{1,1}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\gamma_{1,t_1}}v_{1,t_1}=v_{2,0}\xrightarrow{\gamma_{2,1}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\gamma_{s-1,t_{s-1}}}v_{s-1,t_{s-1}}$$

in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$. Now, for $0\le p\le s-1$ and $1\le m\le t_p$, we set $d_{p,m}:=\tau_p\in\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1)$, $a_{p,m}:=(1-d_{p,m})\langle\lambda_-,-\gamma_{p,m}^\vee\rangle$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}:=a_{p,m}\widetilde{\delta}-\gamma_{p,m}^\vee$. It follows from (5.6) that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}\in\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}}\cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$.

Claim 1. (1) *We have*

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,1}\prec'\cdots\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,t_0}\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{1,1}\prec'\cdots\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{s-1,t_{s-1}},$$

where $\prec'$ denotes the total order on $\widetilde{\Delta}^+_{\mathrm{aff}}\cap t(\lambda_-)^{-1}\widetilde{\Delta}^-_{\mathrm{aff}}$ introduced in Section 5.2; we choose $J'=\{j_1,\dots,j'_{r'}\}\subset\{1,\dots,L\}$ in such way that

$$\left(\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1},\cdots,\tilde{\beta}_{j'_{r'}}\right)=\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,1},\cdots,\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,t_0},\widetilde{\gamma}_{1,1},\cdots,\widetilde{\gamma}_{s-1,t_{s-1}}\right).$$

(2) *Let $1\le k\le r'$, and take $1\le p\le s$ and $1\le m\le t_p$ such that*

$$\left(\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}\prec'\cdots\prec'\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}\right)=\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,1}\prec'\cdots\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}\right).$$

Then, $\mathrm{dir}(z_k)=v_{p,m-1}$. *Moreover,* $\mathrm{dir}(z_{k-1})\xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}}\right)^\vee}\mathrm{dir}(z_k)$ *is a directed edge of* $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$.

Proof of Claim 1. (1) It suffices to show the following:
(i) for $0\le p\le s-1$ and $1\le m<t_p$, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m+1}$;
(ii) for $0\le p\le s-1$, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,t_p}\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{p+1,1}$.

(i) Because $\frac{\langle\lambda_-,-\gamma_{p,m}^\vee\rangle-a_{p,m}}{\langle\lambda_-,-\gamma_{p,m}^\vee\rangle}=d_{p,m}$ and $\frac{\langle\lambda_-,-\gamma_{p,m+1}^\vee\rangle-a_{p,m+1}}{\langle\lambda_-,-\gamma_{p,m+1}^\vee\rangle}=d_{p,m+1}$, we have

$$\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m})=(d_{p,m},-w_\circ\gamma_{p,m}),$$
$$\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m+1})=(d_{p,m+1},-w_\circ\gamma_{p,m+1}).$$

Therefore, the first component of $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m})$ is equal to that of $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m+1})$ since $d_{p,m}=1-\tau_p=d_{p,m+1}$. Moreover, since $-w_\circ\gamma_{p,m}\succ-w_\circ\gamma_{p,m+1}$, we have $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m})<\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m+1})$. This implies that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m+1}$.

(ii) The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i). The first components of $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,t_p})$ and $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p+1,1})$ are d_{p,t_p} and $d_{p+1,1}$, respectively. Since $d_{p,t_p}=\tau_p<\tau_{p+1}=d_{p+1,1}$, we have $\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,t_p})<\Phi(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p+1,1})$. This implies that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,t_p}\prec'\widetilde{\gamma}_{p+1,1}$.

(2) We proceed by induction on k. If $\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0,1}$, i.e., $y_1\ne\lfloor ww_\circ\rfloor$, then we have $\mathrm{dir}(z_1)=\mathrm{dir}(z_0)s_{\overline{-\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}}}=v_{0,0}s_{\gamma_{0,1}}=v_{0,1}$, since $\mathrm{dir}(z_0)=\mathrm{dir}(wt(\lambda_-))=w=v_{0,0}$. If $\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}=\widetilde{\gamma}_{1,1}$, i.e., $y_1=\lfloor ww_\circ\rfloor$ and $t_0=0$, then we have $\mathrm{dir}(z_1)=\mathrm{dir}(z_0)s_{\overline{-\tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}}}=ws_{\gamma_{1,1}}=v_{1,0}s_{\gamma_{1,1}}=v_{1,1}$, since $\mathrm{dir}(z_0)=\mathrm{dir}(wt(\lambda_-))=w=v_{1,0}$. Hence the assertion holds in the case $k=1$.

Assume that $\mathrm{dir}(z_{k-1})=v_{p,m-1}$ for $0\lneqq m\le t_p$; here we remark that $v_{p,m}$ is the successor of $v_{p,m-1}$ in the directed path (5.16). Therefore, we have $\mathrm{dir}(z_k)=\mathrm{dir}(z_{k-1})s_{\overline{-\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}}}=$

$v_{p,m-1}s_{\gamma_{p,m}} \overset{(5.16)}{=} v_{p,m}$. Also, since (5.16) is a directed path in $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$, $v_{p,m} = \mathrm{dir}(z_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{-\left(\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}}\right)^\vee} \mathrm{dir}(z_k) = v_{p,m-1}$ is a directed edge of $\mathrm{QBG}(W)$. ■

Since $J' = \{j_1, \dots, j'_{r'}\} \subset \{1, \dots, L\}$, we can define an element $p_{J'}$ to be $\left(wt(\lambda_-) = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r'}; \tilde{\beta}_{j'_1}, \tilde{\beta}_{j'_2}, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{j'_{r'}}\right)$, where $z_0 = wt(\lambda_-)$, $z_k = z_{k-1}s_{\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}}$ for $1 \le k \le r'$; it follows from Remark 5.3.1 and Claim 1 that $p_{J'} \in \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$.

Claim 2. $\Xi_w(p_{J'}) = \eta$.

Proof of Claim 2. In the following description of $p_{J'}$, we employ the notation u_p, σ_p, w'_p, and w_p used in the definition of $\Xi_w(p_J)$.

For $1 \le k \le r'$, if we set $\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k} := \widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}$, then we have

$$d_{j'_k} = 1 + \frac{\deg(\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k})}{\langle \lambda_-, -\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j'_k}}^\vee \rangle} = 1 + \frac{\deg(\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m})}{\langle \lambda_-, -\overline{\widetilde{\gamma}_{p,m}}^\vee \rangle} = 1 + \frac{a_{p,m}}{\langle \lambda_-, \gamma_{p,m}^\vee \rangle} = d_{p,m}.$$

Therefore, the sequence (5.10) determined by $p_{J'}$ is

(5.17)
$$\underbrace{0 = d_{0,1} = \cdots = d_{0,t_0}}_{=\tau_0} < \underbrace{d_{1,1} = \cdots = d_{1,t_1}}_{=\tau_1} < \cdots < \underbrace{d_{s-1,1} = \cdots = d_{s-1,t_{s-1}}}_{=\tau_{s-1}} < 1 = \tau_s = \sigma_s.$$

Because the sequence (5.17) of rational numbers is just the sequence (5.10) for $\Theta(\eta) = p_{J'}$, we deduce that $u_{p+1} - u_p = t_p$ for $0 \le p \le s-1$, $\tilde{\beta}_{j'_{u_p+k}} = \widetilde{\gamma}_{p,k}$ for $0 \le p \le s-1$, $1 \le k \le u_{p+1} - u_p$, and $\sigma_p = \tau_p$ for $0 \le p \le s$. Therefore, we have $w'_p = \mathrm{dir}(z_{u_p}) = v_{p,0}$ and $w_p = v_{p,0}w_\circ = v_p$. Since $\lfloor w_p \rfloor = \lfloor v_p \rfloor = y_p$, we conclude that $\Xi_w(p_{J'}) = (\lfloor w_1 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor w_s \rfloor; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s) = (y_1, \dots, y_s; \tau_0, \dots, \tau_s) = \eta$, as desired. ■

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.3. □

By Lemmas 5.3.2 and 5.3.3, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3.4. *The map Ξ_w is bijective. In particular, the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$ is independent of the choice of $w \in W$.*

Remark 5.3.5. In the proof of Lemma 5.3.3, we see that for $\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, there exists a unique $J \subset \{1, \dots, L\}$ such that $p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w, t(\lambda_-))$ and $\Xi_w(p_J) = \eta$. Thus we obtain the inverse map $\Xi_w^{-1} : \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \to \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-))$.

Recall from (3.1) and (3.2) that $\deg(\beta)$ is defined by: $\beta = \overline{\beta} + \deg(\beta)\widetilde{\delta}$ for $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\widetilde{\delta}$, and $\mathrm{wt}(u) \in P$ and $\mathrm{dir}(u) \in W$ are defined by: $u = t(\mathrm{wt}(u))\mathrm{dir}(u)$ for $u \in W_{\mathrm{ext}} = t(P) \rtimes W$.

Proposition 5.3.6. *The bijection $\Xi_w : \mathcal{QB}(w; t(\lambda_-)) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ has the following properties*:

(1) $\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J)) = \mathrm{wt}(\Xi_w(p_J))$;
(2) $\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J)) = \mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\Xi_w(p_J))$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\#J$.

If $J = \emptyset$, it is obvious that $\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J)) = \mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\Xi_w(p_J)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J)) = \mathrm{wt}(\Xi_w(p_J)) = w\lambda_-$, since $\Xi_w(p_J) = (\lfloor wv(\lambda_-) \rfloor; 0, 1)$.

Let $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r\}$, and set $K := J \setminus \{j_r\}$; assume that $\Xi_w(p_K)$ is of the form: $\Xi_w(p_K) = (\lfloor w_1 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor w_s \rfloor; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s)$. In the following, we employ the notation w_p, $0 \le p \le s$, used in the definition of the map Ξ_w. Note that $\mathrm{dir}(\mathrm{end}(p_K)) = w_s w_\circ$ by the definition of Ξ_w.

Also, observe that if $d_{j_r} = d_{j_{r-1}} = \sigma_{s-1}$, then $\{d_{j_1} \le \cdots \le d_{j_{r-1}} \le d_{j_r}\} = \{d_{j_1} \le \cdots \le d_{j_{r-1}}\}$, and if $d_{j_r} > d_{j_{r-1}} = \sigma_{s-1}$, then $\{d_{j_1} \le \cdots \le d_{j_{r-1}} \le d_{j_r}\} = \{d_{j_1} \le \cdots \le d_{j_{r-1}} < d_{j_r}\}$. From these, we deduce that

$$\Xi_w(p_J) = \begin{cases} (\lfloor w_1 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor w_{s-1} \rfloor, \lfloor w_s s_{w_\circ \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}} \rfloor; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{s-1}, \sigma_s) & \text{if } d_{j_r} = d_{j_{r-1}} = \sigma_{s-1}, \\ (\lfloor w_1 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor w_{s-1} \rfloor, \lfloor w_s \rfloor, \lfloor w_s s_{w_\circ \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}} \rfloor; \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{s-1}, d_{j_r}, \sigma_s) & \text{if } d_{j_r} > d_{j_{r-1}} = \sigma_{s-1}. \end{cases}$$

For the induction step, it suffices to show the following claims.

Claim 1.

(1) *We have*

$$\mathrm{wt}(\Xi_w(p_J)) = \mathrm{wt}(\Xi_w(p_K)) + a_{j_r} w_s w_\circ \left(-\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}\right)^\vee.$$

(2) *We have*

$$\mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\Xi_w(p_J)) = \mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\Xi_w(p_K)) + \chi \deg(\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}),$$

where $\chi := 0$ *(resp.,* $\chi := 1$*) if* $w_s s_{w_\circ \overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}} \to w_s$ *is a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge.*

Claim 2.

(1) *We have*

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J)) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_K)) + a_{j_r} w_s w_\circ \left(-\overline{\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}}\right)^\vee.$$

(2) *We have*

$$\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J)) = \deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_K)) + \chi \deg(\tilde{\beta}_{j_r}).$$

The proofs of Claims 1 and 2 are the same as those of Claims 1 and 2 in [NNS, Proposition 3.3.6]. This proves the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1. It follows from Propositions 5.3.4 and 5.3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C_w^{t(w_\circ\lambda)}} &= \sum_{p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w; t(w_\circ\lambda))} q^{-\deg(\mathrm{qwt}(p_J))} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{end}(p_J))} \\ &= \sum_{p_J \in \mathcal{QB}(w; t(w_\circ\lambda))} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\Xi_w(p_J))} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\Xi_w(p_J))} \\ &= \sum_{\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}^{ww_\circ}(\eta)} e^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)} \\ &= \mathrm{gch}^{ww_\circ} \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

References

[BFP] F. Brenti, S. Fomin, and A. Postnikov, Mixed Bruhat operators and Yang-Baxter equations for Weyl groups, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **8** (1999), 419–441.

[FM] E. Feigin and I. Makedonskyi, Generalized Weyl modules, alcove paths and Macdonald polynomials, preprint 2015, arXiv:1512.03254.

[LNSSS1] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 1848–1901.

[LNSSS2] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals II: Alcove model, path model, and $P = X$, arXiv:1402.2203, to appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnw129.

[LNSSS3] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, Quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths and root operators, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **71** (2016), 267–294.

[M] I. G. Macdonald, "Affine Hecke Algebras and Orthogonal Polynomials", Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics Vol. 157, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.

[NNS] S. Naito, F. Nomoto, and D. Sagaki, Specialization of nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials at $t = \infty$ and Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules, arXiv:1511.07005, to appear in *Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc.*.

[NS1] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths associated to an integral weight of level zero for an affine Lie algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **14** (2005), 815–840.

[NS2] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules and specializations of Macdonald polynomials, *Math. Z.* **283** (2016), 937–978.

[N] H. Nakajima, Extremal weight modules of quantum affine algebras, Advanced Studies in Pure Math., 40 (2004), 343-369.

[OS] D. Orr and M. Shimozono, Specialization of nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials, preprint 2013, arXiv:1310.0279.

[Po] A. Postnikov, Quantum Bruhat graph and Schubert polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 699–709.

(Fumihiko Nomoto) Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

E-mail address: nomoto.f.aa@m.titech.ac.jp